

HILBERT TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH FINITE MAXIMAL SUBDIAGONAL ALGEBRAS

NARCISSE RANDRIANANTOANINA

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal trace τ , and let H^∞ be a finite, maximal, subdiagonal algebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Fundamental theorems on conjugate functions for weak*-Dirichlet algebras are shown to be valid for non-commutative H^∞ . In particular the Hilbert transform is shown to be a bounded linear map from $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, and to be a continuous map from $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. We also obtain that if a positive operator a is such that $a \log^+ a \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ then its conjugate belongs to $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of conjugate functions has been a strong motivating force behind various aspects of harmonic analysis and abstract analytic function spaces. This theory which was originally developed for functions in the circle group $\mathbb{T}$ has found many generalizations to more abstract settings such as Dirichlet algebras in [5] and weak*-Dirichlet algebras in [11]. Results from this theory have been proven to be very fruitful for studying Banach space properties of the Hardy spaces (and their relatives) associated with the algebra involved (see for instance [3] and [13]).

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal finite trace τ . Arveson introduced in [1], as non-commutative analogues of weak*-Dirichlet algebras, the notion of finite, maximal subdiagonal algebras of $\mathcal{M}$ (see definition below). Subsequently several authors studied the (non-commutative) H^p -spaces associated with such algebras ([12], [15], [16], [18], [19]). In [15], the notion of harmonic conjugates was introduced for maximal subdiagonal algebras generalizing the notion of conjugate functions for weak*-Dirichlet algebras and it was proved that the operation of conjugation is bounded in $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

The main objective of this paper is to combine the spirit of [11] with that of [15] to get a more constructive definition of conjugate operators for the setting of non-commutative

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L50, 46E15; Secondary: 43A15, 47D15.

Key words and phrases. von-Neumann algebras, conjugate functions, Hardy spaces.

maximal subdiagonal algebras; and to study different properties of conjugations for these non-commutative settings. We prove that most fundamental theorems on conjugate operation on Hardy spaces associated with weak*-Dirichlet (see [5] and [11]) remain valid for Hardy spaces associated with finite subdiagonal algebras. In particular, we show that the conjugation operator is a bounded map from $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, and from $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. We conclude that, as in commutative case, (non-commutative) H^p is a complemented subspace of $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

We refer to [17], [20] and [21] for general information concerning von Neumann algebras as well as basic notions of non-commutative integration, to [6] and [14] for Banach space theory and to [10] and [25] for basic definitions from harmonic analysis.

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Throughout, H will denote a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$ a von Neumann algebra with a normal, faithful finite trace τ . A closed densely defined operator a in H is said to be *affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$* if $u^*au = a$ for all unitary u in the commutant $\mathcal{M}'$ of $\mathcal{M}$. If a is a densely defined self-adjoint operator on H , and if $a = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s de_s^a$ is its spectral decomposition, then for any Borel subset $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\chi_B(a)$ the corresponding spectral projection $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_B(s) de_s^a$. A closed densely defined operator on H affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be τ -*measurable* if there exists a number $s \geq 0$ such that $\tau(\chi_{(s,\infty)}(|a|)) < \infty$.

The set of all τ -measurable operators will be denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$. The set $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a $*$ -algebra with respect to the strong sum, the strong product, and the adjoint operation [17]. For $x \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$, the generalized singular value function $\mu(x)$ of x is defined by

$$\mu_t(x) = \inf\{s \geq 0 : \tau(\chi_{(s,\infty)}(|x|)) \leq t\}, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

The function $t \rightarrow \mu_t(x)$ from $(0, \tau(I))$ to $[0, \infty)$ is right continuous, non-increasing and is the inverse of the distribution function $\lambda(x)$, where $\lambda_s(x) = \tau(\chi_{(s,\infty)}(|x|))$, for $s \geq 0$. For a complete study of $\mu(\cdot)$ and $\lambda(\cdot)$, we refer to [9].

Definition 1. *Let E be an order continuous rearrangement invariant (quasi-) Banach function space on $(0, \tau(I))$. We define the symmetric space $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ of measurable operators by setting:*

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) &= \{x \in \overline{\mathcal{M}} \ ; \ \mu(x) \in E\} \quad \text{and} \\ \|x\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} &= \|\mu(x)\|_E, \quad \text{for } x \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a Banach space (resp. quasi-Banach space) if E is a Banach space (resp. quasi-Banach space), and that if $E = L^p(0, \tau(I))$, for $0 < p < \infty$, then $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ coincides with the usual non-commutative L^p -space associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. We refer to [4], [7] and [22] for more detailed discussions about these spaces. For simplicity we will always assume that the trace τ is normalized.

The following definition isolates the main topic of this paper.

Definition 2. *Let H^∞ be a weak*-closed unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ and let Φ be a faithful, normal expectation from $\mathcal{M}$ onto the diagonal $D = H^\infty \cap (H^\infty)^*$, where $(H^\infty)^* = \{x^*, x \in H^\infty\}$. Then H^∞ is called a finite, maximal, subdiagonal algebra in $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to Φ and τ if:*

- (1) $H^\infty + (H^\infty)^*$ is weak*-dense in $\mathcal{M}$;
- (2) $\Phi(ab) = \Phi(a)\Phi(b)$ for all $a, b \in H^\infty$;
- (3) H^∞ is maximal among those subalgebras satisfying (1) and (2);
- (4) $\tau \circ \Phi = \tau$.

For $0 < p < \infty$, the closure of H^∞ in $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is denoted by $H^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (or simply H^p) and is called the Hardy space associated with the subdiagonal algebra H^∞ . Similarly, the closure of $H_0^\infty = \{x \in H^\infty; \Phi(x) = 0\}$ is denoted by H_0^p .

Note that Φ extends to $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and this extension is an orthogonal projection from $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ onto $[D]_2$, the closure of D in $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Similarly, since $\|\Phi(x)\|_1 \leq \|x\|_1$ for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$, the operator Φ extends uniquely to a projection of norm one from $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ onto $[D]_1$, the closure of D in $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

3. HARMONIC CONJUGATES AND HILBERT TRANSFORM

Let $\mathcal{A} = H^\infty + (H^\infty)^*$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is weak*-dense in $\mathcal{M}$, it is norm dense in $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Note that H^∞ and $(H^\infty)^*$ are orthogonal in $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. This fact implies that $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = H^2 \oplus (H_0^2)^*$, and hence that $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = H_0^2 \oplus (H_0^2)^* \oplus [D]_2$.

Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then a can be written as $a_1 + a_2^* + d$ where a_1 and a_2 belong to H_0^∞ and $d \in D$. In fact, $a = b_1 + b_2^*$ with $b_1, b_2 \in H^\infty$ and set $d = \Phi(b_1) + \Phi(b_2^*) \in D$ and $a_i = b_i - \Phi(b_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Since H_0^∞ and $(H_0^\infty)^*$ are orthogonal subsets of $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, this decomposition is unique.

For $u = u_1 + u_2^* + d$ in $\mathcal{A}$, we define $\tilde{u} = iu_2^* - iu_1$. Then $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $u + i\tilde{u} = 2u_1 + d \in H^\infty$. The operator $\tilde{u}$ will be called the **conjugate** of u and the **Hilbert transform (conjugation operator)** $\mathcal{H}$ is defined on $\mathcal{A}$ as follows: $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} (u \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(u) = \tilde{u})$.

Our main objective is to study the Hilbert transform as linear operator between non-commutative L^p -spaces. In particular we will extend $\mathcal{H}$ to $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. It should be noted that if $\mathcal{M}$ is commutative, then the above definition coincides with the definition of conjugate functions for weak*-Dirichlet algebras studied in [11].

Remark 1. (i) If $u = u^*$, then the uniqueness of the decomposition implies that $u_1 = u_2$ and $d = d^*$. Therefore if $u = u^*$ then $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}^*$.

(ii) For $u = u_1 + u_2^* + d \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\tilde{u} = i(u_2^* - u_1)$, the above observation implies that $u_2^* \perp u_1$ in $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, so

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_2^2 = \|u_2^* - u_1\|_2^2 = \|u_2^*\|_2^2 + \|u_1\|_2^2,$$

and since $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = H_0^2 \oplus (H_0^2)^* \oplus [D]_2$ we get,

$$\|u\|_2^2 = \|u_1\|_2^2 + \|u_2^*\|_2^2 + \|d\|_2^2,$$

which implies that $\|\tilde{u}\|_2 \leq \|u\|_2$.

As a consequence of (ii), we get the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *There is a unique continuous linear map $\mathcal{H}$ from $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ that coincides with $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{A}$. This map is of norm 1, and if $u \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ then $u + i\mathcal{H}(u) \in H^2$.*

We remark that Marsalli has recently proved a version of Theorem 1 (see [15] Corollary 10): he showed that the conjugation operator is bounded in $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with bound less than or equal to $\sqrt{2}$.

Our next result is an extension of Theorem 1 from $p = 2$ to all p with $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 2. *For each $1 < p < \infty$, there is a unique continuous linear extension of $\mathcal{H}$ (which is also denoted by $\mathcal{H}$) from $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with the property that $f + i\tilde{f} \in H^p$ for all $f \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\mathcal{H}(f) = \tilde{f}$. Moreover there is a constant C_p such that*

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_p \quad \text{for all } f \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

The following elementary lemma will be used in the sequel; we will include its proof for completeness.

Lemma 1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$. If $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} = 1$, and $a_j \in L^{p_j}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for each $j \leq m$, then*

$$|\tau(a_1 a_2 \dots a_m)| \leq \prod_{j=1}^m \|a_j\|_{p_j}.$$

Proof. Recall that, for $a, b \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$, the operator a is said to be submajorized by b and write $a \prec\prec b$ if

$$\int_0^\alpha \mu_t(a) dt \leq \int_0^\alpha \mu_t(b) dt, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \geq 0.$$

The lemma will be proved inductively on $m \in \mathbb{N}$:

For $m = 2$, it is the usual Hölder's inequality.

Let $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$ and $b, c \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$. Then $\|bc\|_r \leq \|b\|_p \cdot \|c\|_q$: this is a consequence of the fact [9, Theorem 4.2 (iii)] that $\mu_{(\cdot)}^l(bc) \prec\prec \mu_{(\cdot)}^l(b)\mu_{(\cdot)}^l(c)$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$. So

$$\|bc\|_r^r = \int \mu_t^r(bc) dt \leq \int \mu_t^r(b) \mu_t^r(c) dt;$$

then apply the usual Hölder's inequality for functions.

Now assume that the lemma is valid for $m = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_k} + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}} = 1$. Choose q such that $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p_k} + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(a_1 \dots a_{k-1} \cdot (a_k a_{k+1})) &\leq \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \|a_i\|_{p_i} \cdot \|a_k a_{k+1}\|_q \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \|a_i\|_{p_i}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 2.

Our proof follows Devinatz's argument ([5]) for Dirichlet algebras, but at number of points, certain non-trivial adjustments have to be made to fit the non-commutative setting.

Let $u \in \mathcal{A}$ be nonzero and self-adjoint; $\tilde{u}$ is self-adjoint. Let $g = u + i\tilde{u} \in H^\infty$. Since $u = u^*$, it is of the form $u = a + a^* + d$, where $a \in H_0^\infty$ and $d = d^* \in D$. Recall that $\tilde{u} = i(a^* - a)$ so $g = 2a + d \in H^\infty$. We get that

$$\Phi(g^{2k}) = \Phi((2a + d)^{2k}) = [2\Phi(a) + \Phi(d)]^{2k} = \Phi(d)^{2k}.$$

So $\Phi((u + i\tilde{u})^{2k}) = \Phi(d)^{2k}$ and taking the adjoint, $\Phi((u - i\tilde{u})^{2k}) = \Phi(d)^{2k}$. Adding these two equalities, we get

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi[(u + i\tilde{u})^{2k} + (u - i\tilde{u})^{2k}] = 2\Phi(d)^{2k}.$$

Now we will expand the operators $(u + i\tilde{u})^{2k}$ and $(u - i\tilde{u})^{2k}$. Note that u and $\tilde{u}$ do not necessarily commute.

For $2 \leq m \leq 2k$, let $\mathcal{S}_m = \{(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m) \in \{1, \dots, 2k-1\}^m; \sum_{j=1}^m r_j = 2k\}$ and set $\mathcal{S} = \cup_{2 \leq m \leq 2k} \mathcal{S}_m$. For a finite sequence of integers $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m)$, we set $s(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} r_{2j}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (u + i\tilde{u})^{2k} &= u^{2k} + (i\tilde{u})^{2k} + \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \mathcal{S}} (u^{r_1} (i\tilde{u})^{r_2} \dots) + ((i\tilde{u})^{r_1} u^{r_2} \dots) \\ &= u^{2k} + (i)^{2k} \tilde{u}^{2k} + \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \mathcal{S}} (i)^{s(r)} (u^{r_1} \tilde{u}^{r_2} \dots) + (i)^{2k-s(r)} (\tilde{u}^{r_1} u^{r_2} \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(u - i\tilde{u})^{2k} = u^{2k} + (-i)^{2k} \tilde{u}^{2k} + \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \mathcal{S}} (-i)^{s(r)} (u^{r_1} \tilde{u}^{r_2} \dots) + (-i)^{2k-s(r)} (\tilde{u}^{r_1} u^{r_2} \dots).$$

If $\mathcal{K} = \{r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{2m}) \in \mathcal{S}; s(r) \in 2\mathbb{N}\}$, then

$$(u + i\tilde{u})^{2k} + (u - i\tilde{u})^{2k} = 2u^{2k} + 2(i)^{2k} \tilde{u}^{2k} + 2 \sum_{r \in \mathcal{K}} (i)^{s(r)} (u^{r_1} \tilde{u}^{r_2} \dots) + (i)^{2k-s(r)} (\tilde{u}^{r_1} u^{r_2} \dots),$$

so from (3.1), we get

$$\Phi(d)^{2k} = \Phi(u^{2k}) + (i)^{2k} \Phi(\tilde{u}^{2k}) + \sum_{r \in \mathcal{K}} (i)^{s(r)} \Phi(u^{r_1} \tilde{u}^{r_2} \dots) + (i)^{2k-s(r)} \Phi(\tilde{u}^{r_1} u^{r_2} \dots).$$

This implies

$$(i)^{2k} \Phi(\tilde{u}^{2k}) = \Phi(d)^{2k} - \Phi(u^{2k}) - \sum_{r \in \mathcal{K}} (i)^{s(r)} \Phi(u^{r_1} \tilde{u}^{r_2} \dots) + i^{2k-s(r)} \Phi(\tilde{u}^{r_1} u^{r_2} \dots).$$

Taking the trace on both sides,

$$|\tau(\tilde{u}^{2k})| \leq |\tau(d^{2k})| + |\tau(u^{2k})| + \sum_{r \in \mathcal{K}} |\tau(u^{r_1} \tilde{u}^{r_2} \dots)| + |\tau(\tilde{u}^{r_1} u^{r_2} \dots)|.$$

Applying Lemma 1, with $\frac{1}{p_j} = \frac{r_j}{2k}$, for every $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m) \in \mathcal{K}$, we get

$$|\tau(\tilde{u}^{2k})| \leq |\tau(d^{2k})| + \|u\|_{2k}^{2k} + \sum_{r \in \mathcal{K}} (\|u\|_{2k}^{r_1} \|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^{r_2} \dots) + (\|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^{r_1} \|u\|_{2k}^{r_2} \dots).$$

We observe that by the definition of $\mathcal{K}$,

$$\|u\|_{2k}^{2k} + \sum_{r \in \mathcal{K}} (\|u\|_{2k}^{r_1} \|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^{r_2} \dots) + (\|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^{r_1} \|u\|_{2k}^{r_2} \dots)$$

is equal to the sum of the terms of the expansion of $(\|u\|_{2k} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{2k})^{2k}$ with $\|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}$ of even exponents between 2 and $2k - 2$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{2k}^{2k} + \sum_{r \in \mathcal{K}} (\|u\|_{2k}^{r_1} \|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^{r_2} \dots) + (\|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^{r_1} \|u\|_{2k}^{r_2} \dots) \\ = \binom{2k}{0} \|u\|_{2k}^{2k} + \binom{2k}{2} \|u\|_{2k}^{2k-2} \|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^2 + \dots + \binom{2k}{2k-2} \|u\|_{2k}^2 \|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^{2k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{u}$ is self-adjoint, $\tau(\tilde{u}^{2k}) = \|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^{2k}$ and hence,

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^{2k} \leq \|d\|_{2k}^{2k} + \|u\|_{2k}^{2k} + \binom{2k}{2} \|u\|_{2k}^2 \|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^{2(k-1)} + \dots + \binom{2k}{2k-2} \|u\|_{2k}^{2(k-1)} \|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^2;$$

and since $\|d\|_{2k}^{2k} \leq \|u\|_{2k}^{2k}$, we have

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^{2k} \leq 2\|u\|_{2k}^{2k} + \binom{2k}{2} \|u\|_{2k}^2 \|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}^{2(k-1)} + \dots + \binom{2k}{2k-2} \|u\|_{2k}^{2(k-1)} \|u\|_{2k}^2.$$

Divide both sides by $\|u\|_{2k}^{2k}$ and set $X_0 = \|\tilde{u}\|_{2k}/\|u\|_{2k}$, we have

$$X_0^{2k} - \binom{2k}{2} X_0^{2(k-1)} - \binom{2k}{4} X_0^{2(k-2)} - \dots - 2 \leq 0.$$

Hence, X_0 is less than or equal to the largest real root of the polynomial equation

$$X^{2k} - \binom{2k}{2} X^{2(k-1)} - \binom{2k}{4} X^{2(k-2)} - \dots - 2 = 0.$$

If the largest root is K_{2k} , we have

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{2k} \leq K_{2k} \|u\|_{2k}.$$

Using Minkowski's inequality, we conclude that for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$ (not necessarily self adjoint), we have

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{2k} \leq 2K_{2k} \|f\|_{2k}.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is dense in $L^{2k}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the inequality above shows that $\mathcal{H}$ can be extended as a bounded linear operator from $L^{2k}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into $L^{2k}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, so the theorem is proved for p even.

For the general case, let $2 \leq p < \infty$. Choose an integer k such that $2k \leq p \leq 2k + 2$. By [8] (Theorem 2.3), $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ can be realized as a complex interpolation of the pair of Banach spaces $(L^{2k}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L^{2k+2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$, and we conclude that $\mathcal{H}$ is also bounded from $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

For $1 < p < 2$, from the above case, $\mathcal{H}$ is bounded from $L^q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into $L^q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and we claim that as in the commutative case, $(\mathcal{H})^* = -\mathcal{H}$.

To see this, let u and v be self adjoint elements of $\mathcal{A}$; we have

$$\Phi((u + i\tilde{u})(v + i\tilde{v})) = \Phi(u + i\tilde{u}) \cdot \Phi(v + i\tilde{v}) = \Phi(u)\Phi(v),$$

which implies that

$$\Phi(uv + iu\tilde{v} + i\tilde{u}v - \tilde{u}\tilde{v}) = \Phi(uv - \tilde{u}\tilde{v}) + i\Phi(u\tilde{v} + \tilde{u}v) = \Phi(u)\Phi(v),$$

so

$$\tau(uv - \tilde{u}\tilde{v}) + i\tau(u\tilde{v} + \tilde{u}v) = \tau(\Phi(u)\Phi(v)).$$

Since $\Phi(u)$ and $\Phi(v)$ are self-adjoint, $\tau(\Phi(u)\Phi(v)) \in \mathbb{R}$, and also $\tau(uv - \tilde{u}\tilde{v})$ and $\tau(u\tilde{v} + \tilde{u}v) \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies $\tau(u\tilde{v} + \tilde{u}v) = 0$ and $\tau(u\tilde{v}) = -\tau(\tilde{u}v)$. The proof is complete. $\square$

Remarks 1. (1) One can deduce as in Corollary 2h of [11] that there exists a constant M such that $\|\tilde{u}\|_p \leq Mpq\|u\|_p$ for all $u \in L^p$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

(2) We note that a result similar to that of Theorem 2 was also obtained by Zsido ([24], Theorem 3.25) under different setting. The case of trace class c_p where $1 < p < \infty$ was also considered by Asmar, Berkson and Gillespie in [2]. The proofs given in [2] and [24] use representations of locally compact groups. Our proof of Theorem 2 is more direct.

(3) After this paper was written, we learned that Marsalli and West (Noncommutative H^p spaces-Preprint) also obtain Theorem 2 using similar approach.

Our next result can be viewed as a non-commutative variant of Kolmogorov Theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}$ with $u \geq 0$, and set $f = u + i\tilde{u}$. Then for every $s > 0$,

$$\tau(\chi_{(s,\infty)}(|f|)) \leq 4 \frac{\|u\|_1}{s}.$$

We will begin by collecting some lemmas necessary for the proof some of which are probably known but some of the proofs will be included for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 2. For $u \in \mathcal{M}$, let $f = u + i\tilde{u}$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. The formal series $\varphi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \varepsilon^k f^k$ is absolutely convergent in H^1 for every $t < 1/eM_1\|u\|$ where $M_1 = 2M + 2$ and M is the constant from (1) of Remarks 1 above.

This lemma can be proved exactly as in Theorem 3a of [11].

Lemma 3. For $u \in \mathcal{M}$, $u \geq 0$, let $f = u + i\tilde{u}$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

(1) $I + \varepsilon f$ has bounded inverse with $\|(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}\| \leq 1$.

- (2) $f_\varepsilon = (\varepsilon I + f)(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1} \in H^\infty$.
- (3) $\Phi(f_\varepsilon) = \Phi(u)_\varepsilon$.
- (4) $\operatorname{Re}(f_\varepsilon) \geq \varepsilon I$.
- (5) $\operatorname{Re}(I + (f_\varepsilon - sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1}) \geq 0$ for every $s > 0$.
- (6) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|f_\varepsilon - f\|_p = 0$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$).

Proof. (1) Note that f is densely defined and that, for every $x \in D(f)$,

$$\langle (I + \varepsilon f)x, x \rangle = \langle (I + \varepsilon u)x, x \rangle + i\langle \tilde{u}x, x \rangle.$$

Thus $|\langle (I + \varepsilon f)x, x \rangle| \geq \|x\|^2$, which implies $\|(I + \varepsilon f)x\| \geq \|x\|$ for all $x \in D(f)$. So $I + \varepsilon f$ has bounded inverse with $\|(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}\| \leq 1$.

(2) Note first that f_ε is bounded. In fact, $f_\varepsilon = \varepsilon(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1} + f(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}$; but $I = (I + \varepsilon f)(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1} = (I + \varepsilon f)^{-1} + \varepsilon f(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}$ and $(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}$, so the operator $\varepsilon f(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}$ is bounded, implying $f(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}$ is bounded. To prove that $f_\varepsilon \in H^\infty$, it suffices to show that $(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1} \in H^\infty$.

Set $A = -\varepsilon f$. There exists a (unique) semi-group of contractions $(T_t)_{t>0}$ such that A is the infinitesimal generator of $(T_t)_{t>0}$ (see for instance [23] P.246-249). It is well known that

$$(I - A)^{-1}x = \int_0^\infty e^{-t}T_t x \, dt \quad \forall x \in H \quad \text{and}$$

$$T_t x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp(tA(I - n^{-1}A)^{-1})x \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Claim: $T_t \in H^\infty$ for every $t > 0$.

Since $(T_t)_{t>0}$ is a semi-group, it is enough to verify this claim for small t . Assume that $2t \leq 1/eM_1\|u\|$. Let $\varphi(t)$ be the operator in H^1 defined in Lemma 2. We will show that $T_t = \varphi(t)$. Using the series expansion of the exponential and Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\exp(tA(I - n^{-1}A)^{-1}) - \varphi(t)\|_1 &\leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{t^k}{k!} \| (A(I - n^{-1}A)^{-1})^k - A^k \|_1 \\ &\leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \left\| \frac{(2t)^k}{k!} \varepsilon^k f^k \right\|_1 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that for each $k \geq 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \| (A(I - n^{-1}A)^{-1})^k - A^k \|_1 = 0$ so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\exp(tA(I - n^{-1}A)^{-1}) - \varphi(t)\|_1 = 0$$

which shows that $T_t = \varphi(t) \in H^1$ and the claim follows.

We conclude the proof of (2) by noticing that $t \rightarrow T_t$ is a continuous function in H^1 which shows that $(I - A)^{-1} \in H^1$.

(3) $f_\varepsilon \in H^\infty$ and $f_\varepsilon \cdot (I + \varepsilon f) = \varepsilon I + f \in H^2$, so $\Phi(f_\varepsilon)\Phi(I + \varepsilon f) = \Phi(\varepsilon I + f)$. But $\Phi(f) = \Phi(u)$, so we get $\Phi(f_\varepsilon)(I + \varepsilon\Phi(u)) = \varepsilon I + \Phi(u)$.

(4)

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(f_\varepsilon) &= \operatorname{Re}((\varepsilon I + f)(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}([(\varepsilon I + \varepsilon^2 f) + (1 - \varepsilon^2)f])(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}) \\ &= \varepsilon I + (1 - \varepsilon^2) \operatorname{Re}(f(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since we assume that $\varepsilon < 1$, it is enough to show that $\operatorname{Re}(f(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}) \geq 0$. For this

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(f(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}) &= \frac{1}{2} (f(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1} + (I + \varepsilon f^*)^{-1} f^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (I + \varepsilon f^*)^{-1} ((I + \varepsilon f^*)f + f^*(I + \varepsilon f)) (I + \varepsilon f)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (I + \varepsilon f^*)^{-1} (2 \operatorname{Re}(f) + 2\varepsilon |f|^2) (I + \varepsilon f)^{-1} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(I + (f_\varepsilon - sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1}) &= I + \frac{1}{2} ((f_\varepsilon - sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1} + (f_\varepsilon^* + sI)^{-1}(f_\varepsilon^* - sI)) \\ &= I + \frac{1}{2} (f_\varepsilon^* + sI)^{-1} ((f_\varepsilon^* + sI)(f_\varepsilon - sI) + (f_\varepsilon^* - sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI)) (f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1} \\ &= I + (f_\varepsilon^* + sI)^{-1} (|f_\varepsilon|^2 - s^2 I) (f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1} \\ &= (f_\varepsilon^* + sI)^{-1} ((f_\varepsilon^* + sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI) + |f_\varepsilon|^2 - s^2 I) (f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1} \\ &= 2(f_\varepsilon^* + sI)^{-1} (|f_\varepsilon|^2 + s \operatorname{Re} f_\varepsilon) (f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows from the fact that $\operatorname{Re}(f_\varepsilon) \geq \varepsilon I$.

(6) We have for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_\varepsilon - f &= (\varepsilon I + f)(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1} - f \\ &= ((\varepsilon I + f) - f(I + \varepsilon f))(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1} \\ &= \varepsilon(I + f^2)(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\mu_t(f_\varepsilon - f) \prec\prec \varepsilon \mu_t(I + f^2) \mu_t((I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}).$$

Since $\|(I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}\| \leq 1$, we get $\mu_t((I + \varepsilon f)^{-1}) \leq 1$ for every $t > 0$. Also $I + f^2 \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for every $p > 1$, so $\|f_\varepsilon - f\|_p \leq \varepsilon \|I + f^2\|_p \rightarrow 0$ (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$). The proof is complete. $\square$

Lemma 4. *Let a and b be operators in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ with $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$, and let P be a projection that commutes with a . Then $\tau(ab) \geq \tau(P(ab)P)$.*

Proof. To see this, notice that, since P commutes with a , $PaP \leq a$, so $b^{1/2}PaPb^{1/2} \leq b^{1/2}ab^{1/2}$, implying that $\tau(b^{1/2}PaPb^{1/2}) \leq \tau(b^{1/2}ab^{1/2})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(P(ab)P) &= \tau(P(ab)) \\ &= \tau(PaPb) = \tau(b^{1/2}PaPb^{1/2}) \\ &\leq \tau(b^{1/2}ab^{1/2}) = \tau(ab). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 5. *Let S be a positive operator that commutes with $|f_\varepsilon|$ then*

$$\tau(S \operatorname{Re}(f_\varepsilon)) \leq \tau(S|f_\varepsilon|).$$

Proof. Let $S \geq 0$ and assume that $S|f_\varepsilon| = |f_\varepsilon|S$. we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(S \operatorname{Re}(f_\varepsilon)) &= \tau(S(f_\varepsilon + f_\varepsilon^*)/2) \\ &= 1/2(\tau(Sf_\varepsilon) + \overline{\tau(Sf_\varepsilon)}) \\ &\leq |\tau(Sf_\varepsilon)| \end{aligned}$$

Let $f_\varepsilon = u|f_\varepsilon|$ be the polar decomposition of f_ε . Since S commutes with $|f_\varepsilon|$, we get $|\tau(Sf_\varepsilon)| = |\tau(u|f_\varepsilon|S)| = |\tau(uS^{1/2}|f_\varepsilon|S^{1/2})| \leq \tau(S|f_\varepsilon|)$. Thus the proof is complete. □

Lemma 6. *Let A and B be positive operators such that:*

- (i) A^{-1} and B^{-1} exists;
- (ii) $\tau(CA) \leq \tau(CB)$ for every C that commutes with B ;

Then for every C that commutes with B , $\tau(CB^{-1}) \leq \tau(CA^{-1})$

Proof. Observe that $\tau(CB^{-1}) = \tau(\alpha\beta)$, where $\alpha = C^{1/2}B^{-1}A^{1/2}$ and $\beta = A^{-1/2}C^{1/2}$. By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(CB^{-1}) &\leq \tau(|\alpha|^2)^{1/2} \tau(|\beta|^2)^{1/2} = \tau(\alpha^*\alpha)^{1/2} \tau(\beta^*\beta)^{1/2} = \tau(\alpha\alpha^*)^{1/2} \tau(\beta^*\beta)^{1/2} \\ &= \tau(C^{1/2}B^{-1}A^{1/2}A^{1/2}B^{-1}C^{1/2})^{1/2} \tau(C^{1/2}A^{-1/2}A^{-1/2}C^{1/2})^{1/2} \\ &= \tau(C^{1/2}B^{-1}AB^{-1}C^{1/2})^{1/2} \tau(CA^{-1})^{1/2} \\ &= \tau(B^{-1}CB^{-1}A)^{1/2} \tau(CA^{-1})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since C commutes with B , the operator $B^{-1}CB^{-1}$ commutes with B so we get by assumption that $\tau(B^{-1}CB^{-1}(A)) \leq \tau(B^{-1}CB^{-1}(B))$, and therefore

$$\tau(CB^{-1}) \leq \tau(CB^{-1})^{1/2} \tau(CA^{-1})^{1/2}$$

which shows that $\tau(CB^{-1}) \leq \tau(CA^{-1})$. The proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.

Our proof is inspired by the argument of Helson in [10] for the commutative case.

Let u and f be as in the statement of the Theorem 3, and fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Set f_ε as in Lemma 3. For $s \in (0, \infty)$ fixed, consider the following transformation on $\{z; \operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0\}$:

$$A_s(z) = 1 + \frac{z - s}{z + s} \quad \text{for all } z \in \{w, \operatorname{Re}(w) \geq 0\}.$$

It can be checked that the part of the plane $\{z; |z| \geq s\}$ is mapped to the half disk $\{w; \operatorname{Re}(w) \geq 1\}$; this fact is very crucial in the argument of [10] for the commutative case.

Note that $\sigma(f_\varepsilon)$ is a compact subset of $\{z; \operatorname{Re}(z) \geq \varepsilon\}$. By the analytic functional calculus for Banach algebras,

$$A_s(f_\varepsilon) = I + (f_\varepsilon - sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1} \in H^\infty$$

and therefore (since A_s is analytic)

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi(A_s(f_\varepsilon)) = A_s(\Phi(f_\varepsilon)) = A_s(\Phi(u)_\varepsilon).$$

Note that since $\Phi(u)$ is self-adjoint, so are $\Phi(u)_\varepsilon$ and $A_s(\Phi(u)_\varepsilon)$. We conclude from (3.2) that $\tau(I + (\Phi(u)_\varepsilon - sI)(\Phi(u)_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1}) \in \mathbb{R}$, and therefore

$$(3.3) \quad \tau(\operatorname{Re}(I + (f_\varepsilon - sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1})) = \tau(A_s(\Phi(u)_\varepsilon)).$$

Let $P = \chi_{(s, \infty)}(|f_\varepsilon|)$. The projection P commutes with $|f_\varepsilon|$ and we have

$$\operatorname{Re}[I + (f_\varepsilon - sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1}] = (f_\varepsilon^* + sI)^{-1} [2|f_\varepsilon|^2 + 2s \operatorname{Re}(f_\varepsilon)] (f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1};$$

but since $\operatorname{Re}(f_\varepsilon) \geq \varepsilon I \geq 0$, we get

$$2|f_\varepsilon|^2 + 2s \operatorname{Re}(f_\varepsilon) \geq 2|f_\varepsilon|^2,$$

and hence

$$(3.4) \quad \tau[\operatorname{Re}(I + (f_\varepsilon - sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1})] \geq \tau[2|f_\varepsilon|^2(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1}(f_\varepsilon^* + sI)^{-1}].$$

Applying Lemma 4 for $a = 2|f_\varepsilon|$ and $b = (f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1}(f_\varepsilon^* + sI)^{-1}$, we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \tau [\operatorname{Re} (I + (f_\varepsilon - sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1})] \geq \tau [2P|f_\varepsilon|^2(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1}(f_\varepsilon^* + sI)^{-1}].$$

Note that $(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1}(f_\varepsilon^* + sI)^{-1} = (|f_\varepsilon|^2 + 2s \operatorname{Re} (f_\varepsilon) + s^2 I)^{-1}$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (f_\varepsilon^* + sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI) = |f_\varepsilon|^2 + 2s \operatorname{Re} (f_\varepsilon) + s^2 I, \\ B &= |f_\varepsilon|^2 + 2s|f_\varepsilon| + s^2 I. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see from Lemma 5 that if C is a positive operator that commutes with B then $\tau(CA) \leq \tau(CB)$.

Applying Lemma 6 to A , B and $C = 2P|f_\varepsilon|^2$, we obtain (from (3.5)) that

$$\tau [\operatorname{Re} (I + (f_\varepsilon - sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1})] \geq \tau(CA^{-1}) \geq \tau(CB^{-1})$$

and hence

$$(3.6) \quad \tau [\operatorname{Re} (I + (f_\varepsilon - sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1})] \geq \tau [2P|f_\varepsilon|^2(|f_\varepsilon|^2 + s|f_\varepsilon| + s^2 I)^{-1}].$$

If we denote by $E^{|f_\varepsilon|}$ the spectral decomposition of $|f_\varepsilon|$, then

$$2P|f_\varepsilon|^2(|f_\varepsilon|^2 + 2s|f_\varepsilon| + s^2 I)^{-1} = \int_s^\infty \frac{2t^2}{t^2 + 2st + s^2} dE_t^{|f_\varepsilon|}.$$

Let

$$\psi_s(t) = \frac{2t^2}{t^2 + 2st + s^2} \quad \text{for } t \in [s, \infty).$$

One can show that ψ_s is increasing on $[s, \infty)$ so $\psi_s(t) \geq \psi_s(s) = 1/2$ for $t \geq s$, and therefore

$$\int_s^\infty \frac{2t^2}{t^2 + 2st + s^2} dE_t^{|f_\varepsilon|} \geq \frac{1}{2}P,$$

so we deduce from (3.6) that

$$\tau [\operatorname{Re} (I + (f_\varepsilon - sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1})] \geq \frac{1}{2}\tau(P).$$

To finish the proof, recall from (3.3) that

$$\tau [\operatorname{Re} (I + (f_\varepsilon - sI)(f_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1})] = \tau [I + (\Phi(u)_\varepsilon - sI)(\Phi(u)_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1}],$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(P) &\leq 2\tau [I + (\Phi(u)_\varepsilon - sI)(\Phi(u)_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1}] \\ &= 2\tau [2\Phi(u)_\varepsilon(\Phi(u)_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

But $(\Phi(u)_\varepsilon + sI)^{-1} = \frac{1}{s}(\frac{\Phi(u)_\varepsilon}{s} + I)^{-1}$ has norm $\leq 1/s$, hence

$$\tau(P) \leq 4 \frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_1}{s}.$$

Now taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get from Lemma 3 (6) that $\|u_\varepsilon\|_1 \rightarrow \|u\|_1$ and $\|f_\varepsilon - f\|_1$ converges to zero. In particular, (f_ε) converges to f in measure. We obtain from [9](Lemma 3.4) that $\mu_t(f) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_t(f_{\varepsilon_n})$ for each $t > 0$ and $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. This implies that for every $s > 0$ and every $t > 0$, $\chi_{(s,\infty)}(\mu_t(f)) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{(s,\infty)}(\mu_t(f_{\varepsilon_n}))$. Hence by Fatou's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\chi_{(s,\infty)}(|f|)) &= \int_0^1 \chi_{(s,\infty)}(\mu_t(|f|)) \, dt \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \chi_{(s,\infty)}(\mu_t(|f_{\varepsilon_n}|)) \, dt \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(\chi_{(s,\infty)}(|f_{\varepsilon_n}|)) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (4\|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|_1/s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tau(\chi_{(s,\infty)}(|f|)) \leq 4\|u\|_1/s$. The proof is complete. $\square$

We are now ready to extend the Hilbert transform to $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Recall that $L^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{a \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}; \sup_{t>0} t\mu_t(a) < \infty\}$.

Set $\|a\|_{1,\infty} = \sup_{t>0} t\mu_t(a)$ for $a \in L^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. As in the commutative case, $\|\cdot\|_{1,\infty}$ is equivalent to a quasinorm in $L^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, so there is a fixed constant C such that, for every $a, b \in L^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we have $\|a + b\|_{1,\infty} \leq C(\|a\|_{1,\infty} + \|b\|_{1,\infty})$.

For $u \in \mathcal{M}$, let $Tu = u + i\tilde{u}$. From Theorem 1, T is linear and Theorem 3 can be restated as follows:

$$\text{For any } u \in \mathcal{M} \text{ with } u \geq 0, \text{ we have } \|Tu\|_{1,\infty} \leq 4\|u\|_1;$$

this implies that for $u \geq 0$,

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{1,\infty} \leq C(4 + 1)\|u\|_1 = 5C\|u\|_1.$$

Now suppose that $u \in \mathcal{M}$, $u = u^*$, $u = u_+ - u_-$ and $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}_+ - \tilde{u}_-$. Then

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{1,\infty} \leq C(\|\tilde{u}_+\|_{1,\infty} + \|\tilde{u}_-\|_{1,\infty}) \leq 5C^2\|u\|_1.$$

Similarly, if we require only $u \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $u = \operatorname{Re}(u) + i\operatorname{Im}(u)$ and by linearity, $\tilde{u} = \widetilde{\operatorname{Re}(u)} + i\widetilde{\operatorname{Im}(u)}$, and as above,

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{1,\infty} \leq 10C^3\|u\|_1.$$

We are now ready to define the extension $\mathcal{H}$ in $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$: If $u \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\|u - u_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\|\tilde{u}_n - \tilde{u}_m\|_{1,\infty} \leq 10C^3\|u_n - u_m\|_1,$$

and since $\|u_n - u_m\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, the sequence $(\tilde{u}_n)_n$ converges in $L^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ to an operator $\tilde{u}$. This defines $\tilde{u}$ for $u \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. This definition can be easily checked to be independent of the sequence $(\tilde{u}_n)_n$ and agree with the conjugation operator defined for $p > 1$.

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the inequality $\|\tilde{u}_n\|_{1,\infty} \leq 10C^3\|u_n\|_1$, we obtain the following theorem ($H^{1,\infty}$ denotes the closure of H^∞ in $L^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$):

Theorem 4. *There is a unique extension of $\mathcal{H}$ from $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with the following property: $u + i\tilde{u} \in H^{1,\infty}$ for all $u \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, and there is a constant K such that $\|\tilde{u}\|_{1,\infty} \leq K\|u\|_1$ for all $u \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.*

Corollary 1. *For any p with $0 < p < 1$ there exists a constant K_p such that*

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_p \leq K_p\|u\|_1 \quad \text{for all } u \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

Proof. It is enough to show that such a constant exists for $u \in \mathcal{M}$, $u \geq 0$. Recall that for $u \in \mathcal{M}$, the distribution $\lambda_s(u)$ equals $\tau(\chi_{(s,\infty)}(u))$.

Let $F(s) = 1 - \lambda_s(u) = \tau(\chi_{(0,s)}(u))$. Assume that $\|u\|_1 \leq 1$. From Theorem 3,

$$1 - F(s) \leq \frac{4}{s}\|u\|_1 \leq \frac{4}{s}.$$

Note that F is a non-increasing right continuous function and for $p > 0$,

$$\tau(|\tilde{u}|^p) = \int_0^1 \mu_t(|\tilde{u}|)^p dt = \int_0^\infty s^p dF(s) \leq 1 + \int_1^\infty s^p dF(s).$$

If A is a point of continuity for F ($A > 1$), then

$$\int_1^A s^p dF(s) = [s^p(F(s) - 1)]_1^A + p \int_1^A (1 - F(s))s^{p-1} ds.$$

Since $1 - F(s) \leq \frac{4}{s}$, we get that both $[s^p(F(s) - 1)]_1^A$ and $\int_1^A (1 - F(s))s^{p-1} ds$ are bounded for $0 < p < 1$, that is, $\int_0^1 \mu_t(|\tilde{u}|)^p dt$ has bound independent of u . $\square$

The **Riesz projection** $\mathcal{R}$ can now be defined as in the commutative case: for every $a \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$),

$$\mathcal{R}(a) = \frac{1}{2}(a + i\tilde{a} + \Phi(a)).$$

From Theorem 2, one can easily verify that $\mathcal{R}$ is a bounded projection from $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ onto H^p for $1 < p < \infty$. In particular H^p is a complemented subspace of $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

For $p = 1$, Theorem 4 shows that $\mathcal{R}$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ into $H^{1,\infty}$.

Our next result gives a sufficient condition on an operator $a \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ so that its conjugate $\tilde{a}$ belongs to $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Theorem 5. *There exists a constant K such that for every positive $a \in \mathcal{M}$,*

$$\|\tilde{a}\|_1 \leq K\tau(a \log^+ a) + K.$$

Proof. Let C be the absolute constant such that $\|\tilde{a}\|_p \leq Cpq\|a\|_p$ for all $a \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. The conclusion of the theorem can be deduced as a straightforward adjustment of the commutative case in [25](vol .II, p. 119); we will present it here for completeness.

Let $a \in \mathcal{M}$; we will assume first that $a \geq 0$. Let $(e_t)_t$ be the spectral decomposition of a . For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_k = \chi_{[2^{k-1}, 2^k)}(a)$ be the spectral projection relative to $[2^{k-1}, 2^k)$. Define $a_k = aP_k$ for $k \geq 1$ and $a_0 = a\chi_{[0,1)}(a)$. Clearly $a = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$ in $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for every $1 < p < \infty$. By linearity, $\tilde{a} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{a}_k$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|\tilde{a}_k\|_1 \leq \|\tilde{a}_k\|_p \leq Cp^2(p-1)^{-1}\|a_k\|_p$. Since $a_k \leq 2^k P_k$, we get for $1 < p < 2$,

$$\|\tilde{a}_k\|_1 \leq 4C \frac{1}{p-1} 2^k \tau(P_k)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

If we set $p = 1 + \frac{1}{k+1}$ and $\epsilon_k = \tau(P_k)$, we have

$$\|\tilde{a}_k\|_1 \leq 4C(k+1)2^k \epsilon_k^{\frac{k+1}{k+2}}.$$

Taking the summation over k ,

$$\|\tilde{a}\|_1 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 4C(k+1)2^k \epsilon_k^{\frac{k+1}{k+2}}.$$

We note as in [25] that if $J = \{k \in \mathbb{N}; \epsilon_k \leq 3^{-k}\}$ then

$$\sum_{k \in J} 4C(k+1)2^k \epsilon_k^{\frac{k+1}{k+2}} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 4C(k+1)2^k (3^{-k})^{\frac{k+1}{k+2}} = \alpha < \infty.$$

On the other hand, for $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus J$, $\epsilon_k^{\frac{k+1}{k+2}} \leq \epsilon_k \cdot 3^{\frac{k}{k+2}} \leq \beta \epsilon_k$ where $\beta = \sup_k 3^{\frac{k}{k+2}}$. So we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{a}\|_1 &\leq \alpha + 4C\beta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)2^k \epsilon_k \\ &\leq \alpha + 4C\beta(\epsilon_0 + 4\epsilon_1) + 4C\beta \sum_{k \geq 2} (k+1)2^k \epsilon_k. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $k \geq 2$, $k+1 \leq 3(k+1)$, we get

$$\|\tilde{a}\|_1 \leq \alpha + 16C\beta + 24C\beta \sum_{k \geq 2} (k-1)2^{k-1} \epsilon_k.$$

To complete the proof, notice that for $k \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (k-1)2^{k-1} \epsilon_k &= \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} (k-1)2^{k-1} d\tau(e_t) \\ &\leq \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} \frac{t \log t}{\log 2} d\tau(e_t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by setting $K = \max\{\alpha + 16C\beta, 24C\beta/\log 2\}$, we get:

$$\|\tilde{a}\|_1 \leq K + K\tau(a \log^+(a)).$$

The proof is complete. □

Remark 2. From Theorem 4, one can deduce that if $a \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is such that $a \geq 0$ and $a \log^+(a)$ belongs to $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ then $\tilde{a} \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Let us finish with the following open question that arises naturally from the commutative case and the topic of this paper: it is a well known result of Bourgain ([3]) that $L^1(\mathbb{T})/H^1(\mathbb{T})$ is of cotype 2 and later Lancien ([13]) proved that a similar result holds for L^1/H^1 associated with weak*-Dirichlet algebras.

Problem: Is $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)/H^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ of cotype 2? (or merely of finite cotype?)

It should be noted that the theory of conjugate functions and the boundedness of the Riesz projection were very crucial in the proof given by Bourgain ([3]) for the classical case and Lancien ([13]) for the setting of weak*-Dirichlet algebras.

Acknowledgements. Parts of the work reported here were done during the author's visit at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute (MSRI) in Berkeley, CA. The author is grateful to the organizers of the Special Semester on Convex Geometry for financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Arveson. *Analyticity in operator algebras*. Amer. J. Math., **89**:578–642, (1967).
- [2] N. Asmar, E. Berkson, and T. A. Gillespie. *Representation of groups with ordered duals and generalized analyticity*. J. Funct. Anal., **90**:206–235, (1990).
- [3] J. Bourgain. *Bilinear forms on H^∞ and bounded bianalytic functions*. Trans. AMS, **286**:313–337, (1984).
- [4] V.I. Chilin and F.A. Sukochev. *Symmetric spaces on semifinite von Neumann algebras*. Soviet Math. Dokl., **42**:97–101, (1992).
- [5] A Devinatz. *Conjugate function theorems for Dirichlet Algebras*. Revista de la Union Math. Argentina, **23**:3–30, (1966/67).
- [6] J. Diestel. *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*, volume **92** of Graduate Text in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, New York, first edition, (1984).
- [7] P.G. Dodds, T.K. Dodds, and B. de Pagter. *Non-commutative Banach function spaces*. Math. Zeit., **201**:583–597, (1989).
- [8] P.G. Dodds, T.K. Dodds, and B. de Pagter. *Remarks on non-commutative interpolation*. Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Australian National Univ., **24**, (1989).
- [9] T. Fack and H. Kosaki. *Generalized s -numbers of τ -measurable operators*. Pac. J. Math., **123**:269–300, (1986).
- [10] H. Helson. *Harmonic Analysis*. Addison-Wesley P. Co., London, Amsterdam, Sydney and Tokyo, (1983).
- [11] I. Hirschman, Jr and R. Rochberg. *Conjugate function theory in weak*-Dirichlet algebras*. J. Funct. Anal., **16**:359–371, (1974).
- [12] S. Kawamura and J. Tomiyana. *On subdiagonal algebras associated with flows in operator algebras*. J. Math. Soc. Japan, **29**:73–90, (1977).
- [13] F. Lancien. *Generalization of Bourgain’s theorem about L^1/H^1 for weak*-Dirichlet algebras*. Houst. J. Math., **20**:47–61, (1994).
- [14] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces II*, volume **97** of Modern Surveys In Mathematics . Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, first edition, (1979).
- [15] M. Marsalli. *Non-commutative H^2 spaces*. Proc. AMS (to appear).
- [16] M. McAsey, P. S. Muhly, and K. S. Saito. *Non- self adjoint crossed products (invariant subspaces and maximality)*. Trans. AMS, **248**:381–410, (1979).
- [17] E. Nelson. *Notes on non-commutative integration*. J. Funct. Anal., **15**:103–116, (1974).
- [18] K. S. Saito. *On non-commutative Hardy spaces associated with flows on finite von-Neumann algebras*. Tôhoku Math. J. , **29**:585–595, (1977).
- [19] K. S. Saito. *A note on invariant subspaces for finite maximal subdiagonal algebras*. Proc. AMS, **77**:348–352, (1979).
- [20] I. E. Segal. *A non-commutative extension of abstract integration*. Ann. of Math., **57**:401–457, (1953).
- [21] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator Algebras I*. Springer-Verlag, New-York, Heidelberg, Berlin, (1979).
- [22] Q. Xu. *Analytic functions with values in lattices and symmetric spaces of measurable operators*. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **109**:541–563, (1991).
- [23] K. Yosida. *Functional analysis*, volume **123**. Springer-Verlag, sixth edition, (1980).

- [24] L. Zsido. *On spectral subspaces associated to locally compact abelian groups of operators*. Advances in Math., **36**:213–276, (1980).
- [25] A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric series*, volume II. Cambridge University Press, second edition, (1959).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MIAMI UNIVERSITY, OXFORD, OH 45056

E-mail address: `randrin@muohio.edu`